UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United :	States	of A	America,
----------	--------	------	----------

Plaintiff,

Case:4:24-cr-20607 Judge: Behm, F. Kay

MJ: Ivy, Curtis

Filed: 11-06-2024 At 10:51 AM

SEALED MATTER (sk)

Jamman Rauls,

v.

Violation:

Defendant.

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) - Possession with Intent to Distribute Cocaine

1. On or about May 18, 2024, in the Eastern District of Michigan,
Jamman Rauls knowingly and unlawfully possessed with intent to distribute a
mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II
controlled substance.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) & (b)(1)(C).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Cr. P. 32.2(a), the government hereby provides notice to the defendants of its intention to seek forfeiture of all proceeds, direct or indirect, or property traceable thereto, all property that facilitated the commission of the violations alleged, or property traceable thereto, and all property involved in, or property traceable thereto, of the violations set for in this Indictment.
- 3. <u>Substitute Assets</u>: If the property described above as being subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of Defendant:
 - a. Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d. Has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. Has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property

pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

DAWN N. ISON United States Attorney

s/ANTHONY VANCE
ANTHONY VANCE
Chief, Branch Offices

s/BLAINE LONGSWORTH
BLAINE LONGSWORTH
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: November 6, 2024

Companion Case information MUST be completed by AU

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan

Criminal Case Cove

Case:4:24-cr-20607 Judge: Behm, F. Kay

MJ: Ivy, Curtis

Filed: 11-06-2024 At 10:51 AM

SEALED MATTER (sk)

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete it accurately in all respects.

Companion Case Information	Companion Case Number:
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10) (b)(4)¹: Judge Assigned:
☐ Yes ☑ No	AUSA's Initials:
Case Title: USA v. JAMMAN RAULS	
County where offense occurred : GEI	NESEE
Check One:	☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Petty
Indictment/Information buperseding Case Information	based upon prior complaint [Case number:] based upon LCrR 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].
uperseuling case information	
	Judge:
uperseding to Case No: Original case was terminated; no add Corrects errors; no additional charges Involves, for plea purposes, different	ditional charges or defendants. s or defendants.
Original case was terminated; no add Corrects errors; no additional charges Involves, for plea purposes, different	ditional charges or defendants. s or defendants. charges or adds counts.
Original case was terminated; no add Corrects errors; no additional charges Involves, for plea purposes, different Embraces same subject matter but an Defendant name	ditional charges or defendants. s or defendants. charges or adds counts. dds the additional defendants or charges below:
Original case was terminated; no add Corrects errors; no additional charges Involves, for plea purposes, different Embraces same subject matter but ac	ditional charges or defendants. s or defendants. charges or adds counts. dds the additional defendants or charges below: Charges Prior Complaint (if applicable)

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.

03/11/2013